

|   |                        |                     |  |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|   | 10/816,631             | THURM ET AL.        |  |
|   | Examiner<br>Weilun Lo  | Art Unit<br>3747    |  |

**All Participants:**

(1) Weilun Lo.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Michael Nornberg.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 7 December 2004

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

all

Prior art documents discussed:

*art of record, particularly US 6,241,040 and US 5,570,753*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Agreement was reached to more clearly define the invention. See examiner's amendment.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)